

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/682,182 | 08/01/2001 | John M. Young | GECAN 3216 | 2048 |
| 23465 75 | 10/09/2002 | | | |
| JOHN S. BEULICK | | | EXAMINER | |
| C/O ARMSTRONG TEASDALE, LLP | | | LAM, THANH | |
| ONE METROPOLITAN SQUARE SUITE 2600 | | | LAW, I | IIANII |
| ST LOUIS, MO 63102-2740 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2834 | - |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 10/09/2002 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. 09/682,182

Applicant(s)

Young et al.

Office Action Summary

Examiner

Thanh Lam Art Unit

2834



| | The MAILING DATE of this communication appears of | on the cover sheet with the correspondence address | |
|---|---|--|------|
| Period fo | or Reply | | |
| THE M | PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TILLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Sens of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In n | TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the | |
| If the pe If NO pe Failure to Any repl | date of this communication. Friod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the Friod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply an Frior or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the Fried received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the Fried term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | nd will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | |
| Status | | | |
| 1) 🗌 🖠 | Responsive to communication(s) filed on | | |
| 2a) 🗌 | This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This action | on is non-final. | |
| | Since this application is in condition for allowance exclosed in accordance with the practice under Ex par | xcept for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is te Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. | |
| Dispositi | on of Claims | | |
| 4) 💢 | Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> | is/are pending in the application. | |
| 48 | a) Of the above, claim(s) | is/are withdrawn from consideration. | |
| 5) 🗆 (| Claim(s) | is/are allowed. | |
| 6) 🗆 (| Claim(s) | is/are rejected. | |
| 7) 🗌 | Claim(s) | is/are objected to. | |
| 8) 💢 | Claims <u>1-26</u> | are subject to restriction and/or election requirement | :. |
| Applicat | ion Papers | | |
| 9) 🗆 | The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | |
| 10) | The drawing(s) filed on is/are | a) \square accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner. | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the di | rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | |
| 11) | The proposed drawing correction filed on | is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved by the Examir | ner. |
| | If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t | | |
| 12) | The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin | ner. | |
| Priority (| under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | |
| 13) 🗌 | Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr | riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | |
| a) 🗆 | All b)□ Some* c)□ None of: | | |
| 1 | I. \square Certified copies of the priority documents have | e been received. | |
| 2 | $2.\square$ Certified copies of the priority documents have | e been received in Application No | |
| | application from the International Burea | | |
| | ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the | e certified copies not received. | |
| 14) 🗆 | Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). | |
| _ | The translation of the foreign language provisiona | | |
| 15)∟ | Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic | priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. | |
| Attachme | | 4) [] + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + | |
| | tice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). | |
| | tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). | 5) Notice of Informal Petent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other: | |
| 3, IIII0 | Amation Disclosure Statement(s) (r 10-1445) Faper No(s). | o, L. outo. | |

Application/Control Number: 09682182 Page 2

Art Unit: 2834

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-11, drawn to a method of facilitating damping of a dynamoelectric machine, classified in class 29, subclass 596.
 - II. Claims 12-26, drawn to a damping apparatus of electric machine, classified in class 310, subclass 51.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the method claims of group I do not require the apparatus claims of group II to prosecute the invention because they are distinct from one to another.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Application/Control Number: 09682182 Page 3

Art Unit: 2834

SPECIES FIGURES

A 2-6

B 7-8.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to <u>elect a single disclosed species</u> for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 12 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 09682182

Art Unit: 2834

5. A telephone call was made to Patrick W. Rasche on 10/07/2002 to request an oral

election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of

Page 4

the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the 6.

inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently

named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any

amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the

fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Thanh Lam whose telephone number is (703) 308-7626.

Thanh lam

October 7, 2002

Chanh lam